

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER



Volume 2 Issue No. 8

A monthly newsletter

FEBRUARY, 1997

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

--Article I, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

The ACLU and the MILITIA MOVEMENT

On November 2, 1995, Gregory Nojeim, of the American Civil Liberties Union, spoke before the House of Representatives on the topic **Civil Liberties Implications of Legislative Responses to Anti-Government Groups**.

Surprisingly he was supportive of the Militia Movement, though with ulterior motives, for the ACLU knows that if the government passes legislation oppressive of the militia, it's only a matter of time before the same laws will spill over onto groups they support. That's the only motive the ACLU has for defending the Militia Movement.

During his introduction Mr. Nojeim said, *"...it is crucial to bear in mind the danger of attaching labels such as 'cult' or 'racist' or 'violent' or 'extreme'. An extreme view today may become a mainstream view tomorrow, all depending on how the viewpoint fares in the market place of ideas."*

However, he used the phrase "anti-government" fourteen times in reference to the Militia. (Other groups were mentioned, but his remarks were predominately militia oriented). "Anti-government" is a label, and not a very nice one, either.

Remember years ago when the supreme Court (*not* Congress) legalized abortion? Remember when those who protested the decision were labeled "anti-abortionists" and today they're called "Pro-lifers"? This change came about because the anti-abortionists themselves insisted on being referred to as pro-lifers. The definitions are essentially identical, though one has a favorable connotation, and one does not. In our case not only is the word anti-government negative, it's also inaccurate. A more accurate term, although maybe not the best, would be "anti-federalist", a tradition rooted in American thought that

seeks a limited government of enumerated powers delegated by the people (see Art. 1, Sec. 8), bound down further by the Bill of Rights.

The powers that be will never allow an accurate description into our lexicon. For if the average American ever realizes how much the federal government unlawfully takes from his paycheck he might actually view the anti-federalist militia in a more favorable light.

Can't have that!

Mr. Nojeim pointed out the unconstitutional aspects of H.R. 1544, the Domestic Insurgency Act of 1995, and H.R. 1899, the Domestic Counter Terrorism Act of 1995, citing several supreme Court decisions to prove his point (and doing a good job of it by-the-way). Despite the ACLU's defense of our cause in this case, it will not atone for its sins of the past. They never rally in defense of the Second Amendment--incapable, apparently, of getting beyond the First, Fourth and Fifth.

There was one somewhat humorous moment, though Mr. Nojeim was unaware of it, when he suggested that legislators "focus attention on the root causes of the growth of these [militia] groups..."

Picture this: Here's a representative of the ACLU, in Washington, (District of Criminals), telling legislators that the laws they enact are oppressive and unconstitutional--so much so that the ACLU is forced to come to the defense of the militia--and asking the miscreants to look for the "root causes" of the Militia Movement, when Mr. Nojeim was addressing the "root causes" of the Militia Movement themselves! Talk about "*can't see the forest for the trees*"!

This reminds me of an elderly Doctor I know who along with his fellow medical school classmates would cultivate viruses in petri dishes and then try various medicines to eradicate them. Every night the samples were placed in a refrigerator because, if left out at room temperature, mold--which contains penicillin--would kill off the viruses and

"ruin" their experiments! Mr. Nojeim, just like the medical students, had the answer he was seeking staring him in the face but failed to make the connection.

Closing Note: We suggest that Mr. Nojeim, and everyone in the ACLU, read well known columnist Walter Williams' article that he wrote back in July 11, 1996 titled *'Militia Supply Lines Of Distrust'*.

Williams wrote: *"Let's ask some questions. Why has there been a remarkable growth in anti-government militias? Do we want a nation where ordinary people find militia groups increasingly attractive? Do we have much time before domestic terrorism becomes standard fare?"*

The Freeman aside, it is my impression that most militia members are not common criminals who rob, rape and murder. To the contrary, at least the ones I've seen, are working, churchgoing, patriotic, family men and women. They, like most other Americans, are becoming increasingly fearful of their government. What distinguishes them, misguided or not, is a willingness to prepare to resist a government seemingly hell-bent on making a mockery of our constitutional guarantees."

Williams then cites a number of constitutional abuses committed by the federal government, abuses that would perhaps open the ACLU's eyes to the necessity of defending the entire Bill of Rights.

AMERICAN JUSTICE

"Ye shall do no unrighteousness in judgment. Thou shalt not respect the person of the poor, nor honor the person of the mighty, but in righteousness shalt thou judge thy neighbor." Leviticus 19:15.

This verse simply says that favoritism will not be shown in matters of law and the equal administration of justice regardless of a person's social standing--or lack thereof. The following article illustrates just how far our legal system has deviated from this biblical admonition.

Where else but in America can someone steal \$173,000 and serve only two months in prison? And not just any prison, but the cozy federal (country club) prison at Allenwood, PA?

If you're former N.J. Superior Court judge Michael Imbriani, it's easy. What makes this story interesting is that because of a plea bargain Imbriani received just a \$5000 fine and five years probation for robbing his business associates --he got two months for not paying taxes on the money he stole. *"As a judge, I didn't make a lot of money and I have five children I was putting through school,"* Imbriani lamented. His \$100,000 a-year salary just wasn't enough. He claimed that his children never applied for scholarships because *"I thought that should be for poor people."* Rich judges steal so poor kids can apply for scholarships? That's a good excuse--something like a beggar stealing a loaf of bread. *Right!*

This is an outrage and an insult to American Jurisprudence. Talk about a mock trial and mock sentence. Imbriani was charged with tax evasion only after a fire storm of protest erupted when he received *probation* for the theft! The government, figuring it had better do *something* to quiet the rabble, slapped him with the tax charge.

Another repugnant feature of Imbriani's story is that he was a "hanging judge" nicknamed 'Iron Mike'. He was notorious for hammering people with maximum sentences. In response to his own two month (ridiculously short) sentence he replied *"I thought it was fair."* One can only wonder how many of the people he sent to prison with maximum sentences could say the same. Zero perhaps?

Listen to what U.S. District Judge John Lifland said at the sentencing: *"...proceeds of the crime were devoted to a worthwhile purpose...but Imbriani's actions undermined public confidence in the judiciary."*

Translation: Jail time for stealing the money? Zero. Jail time for not paying taxes on the stolen money? Zero. Jail time for undermining public confidence in the judiciary? Two months.

The NJ legal system owes an apology to the thousands of people doing hard time for committing far lesser crimes than former judge Imbriani.

(Note: Let's see, I steal \$200 g's, pay a \$5000 fine, keep \$195 g's and spend two months in Allenwood. Hmmm. No problem.

Where do I go to sign up for a deal like this?--Ed)

RETROACTIVE LAWS ARE ILLEGAL

By Doug Fiedor

All retroactive laws are unconstitutional.

Supposedly, we are protected against such heavy-handed political impositions. Our social compact with government, the U. S. Constitution, forbids such actions by the government in three different sections. Forbidden that is, only if We the People are willing to force the issue.

First, we should ask our Representatives and Senators if they intend to honor their oath of office. You know, the one where they swear to uphold, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States...

Then, ask your Member of Congress to read Article 1, Section 9, paragraph 3 of the Constitution, which states: "No Bill of Attainder or ex post facto Laws shall be passed." This sentence should be pretty clear--even to a Member of Congress or a congressional aide--since the operative word here is "No". The other operative words of interest to us are the Latin words "ex post facto"--which is legalese for criminalizing an innocent action and then, retroactively, punishing it as a crime.

In *Federalist* #44 James Madison wrote: *"Bills of attainder, ex post facto laws, and laws impairing the obligation of contracts, are contrary to the first principles of the social compact and to every principle of sound legislation."*

Alexander Hamilton expanded on that thought in *Federalist* #84 when he wrote: *"The creation of crimes after the commission of the fact, or, in other words, the subjecting of men to punishments for things which, when they were done, were breaches of no law, and the practice of arbitrary imprisonments, have been, in all ages, the favorite and most formidable instruments of tyranny."*

In other words, according to Madison, passing a retroactive law violates every principle of sound legislation, and he expected American legislators to know better. Hamilton, however, was a little more blunt: *"Tyranny"*, he called it.

Long before the *Federalist*, Sir William Blackstone, in his *Commentaries on the Law*, was quite clear about ex post facto laws: *"These are wholly unreasonable,"* he begins. He then contends that when laws are passed the people should be notified well in advance of their effective date.

During the Constitutional Convention, James Wilson, a delegate from Pennsylvania, protested that even *"to put the ex post facto provision in the Constitution might proclaim that we are ignorant of the first principles of Legislation, or are constituting a Government which will be so."* William Samuel Johnson, a delegate from Connecticut, thought the clause *"unnecessary, and implying an improper suspicion of the National Legislature."* They too thought American legislators would know better. Fortunately, though, others foresaw the type of venal legislators we have in Washington today.

Some lawyers say the ex post facto provision only applies to criminal law. The United State Supreme Court, however, said otherwise. The ex post facto provision applies to any law imposing a penalty retroactively.

Therefore, it doesn't matter if we're talking about a tax package, environmental laws, rules or regulations, or gun laws. If the law, rule or regulation is retroactive it is, as James Madison instructs us *"contrary to the first principles of the social compact and to every principle of sound legislation."*

A CALL FOR NATIONAL UNITY

Recent gun legislation has brought national attention to the government's continued efforts to ban the private ownership of firearms. The most recent and alarming instance is the Domestic Violence gun grab. This law has opened a Pandora's Box of probabilities concerning future legislation involving our Constitutional Liberties. It now seems that simple misdemeanors can become the rule of thumb for stripping any citizen of his rights!

Shall we stand by and allow our elected officials to pretend that they are so naive they have no knowledge concerning the legislation voted upon? Shall we stand by and allow our elected officials in both political parties to turn this great country into a slave state? Or worse, a slave state enforced by a Federal Police Force?

How shall we stop them you ask? The answer is simple. UNITY!

Our plan is to unify all members of the militia/patriot movement and other concerned citizens of the political community into one national organization. The major goal of the National Organization would be to preserve the Constitutional and unalienable liberties of all Americans through the use of public information, petition and the electoral process. A secondary goal of the new organization will

"This year will go down in history. For the first time, a civilized nation has full gun registration! Our streets will be safer, our police more efficient, and the world will follow our lead into the future!"

--Adolph Hitler

be to educate its members on methods of insuring their own survival and protection.

Combined, we could become a political force never before seen in this country. We might also become the last real deterrent against big government socialism and oppression.

Work is still in progress on such a National Organization and the new year will bring a new direction in how the average citizen deals with his government.

--Lance R. Crowe

Note: NJM is in contact with Mr. Crowe and will report further developments. --Ed.

JANET RENO SINGS JINGLE BELLS

You'd better watch out,
You'd better not cry,
You'd better not pout,
I'm telling you why.
Santa Claus is tapping your phone.

He's bugging your room,
He's reading your mail,
He's keeping a file
And running a tail.
Santa Claus is tapping your phone.

He hears you in the bedroom,
Surveils you out of doors,
And if that doesn't get the goods,
Then he'll use provocateurs.

So--you mustn't assume
That you are secure.
On Christmas Eve
He'll kick in your door.
Santa Claus is tapping
Your phone.

A SECOND AMERICAN REVOLUTION?

"When I look at the United States, I am filled with alarm and with hope. On the one hand, I see the rapid advance of despotism. On the other, I see a gathering of perhaps all the forces needed to halt that advance and turn it back. I do not know if there will be a insurrection, or a revolution, or a civil war. But whatever may be about to happen in that country will, I am in no doubt, set the course of mankind for centuries to come."

So reads the opening paragraph of an article by Anthony Furlong concerning the current Militia Movement in America. The article, 'A Second American Revolution?' appeared in *Free Life*, April 1995, in Great Britain.

His article covers many of the abuses of our federal government that we've covered in our Newsletter, (Ruby Ridge, Waco, etc.) so there's no need to review his story. What is interesting is a foreigner's view of what's going on in the U.S. The article is very supportive of the Militia Movement and recognizes the problems that have given birth to the militias. He stated, *"The advance of despotism in America is something too plain to require a long description. The voiding of the Constitution and Bill of Rights began in the 1860s, if not before; and the progress of the Federal Government from delegate of the States to its current supremacy has been the history of the United States. But three modern developments are worth noting."* Furlong

QUOTE OF THE MONTH

"America is at that awkward stage. It's too late to work within the system, but too early to shoot the bastards."

---Claire Wolfe



mentions (1) Civil Asset Forfeiture, (2) Gun Control, and (3) Murder.

Explaining why the resurgence of the militia in Great Britain is unlikely, Furlong says, *"In the first place, an insurrection here has at the moment no likely chance of success. Unlike the Americans, we have already been disarmed; and, except among the Ulstermen, what tradition we have of armed resistance to the authorities has long since atrophied. Even if a few of us were to import weapons and train secretly in their use, we should have no support from any large section of the general public. It would be easy for the authorities to mobilize opinion against us, and to keep us as isolated and ineffective as the IRA has usually been in the mainland United Kingdom."*

"In the second place, I must admit that, on the whole, the British government is rather gentle compared with the American. It does not murder its opponents on anything like the same scale. It has not to the same extent sidestepped the restraints of due process by talking criminal matters into civil courts [a reference to civil asset forfeiture statutes]."

"Nor is it so able to ignore calls for reform. The bureaucracy is smaller. Ministers are not so isolated from everyday life. The special interest groups are less completely in charge of policy. Everyone is less corrupt. Despite the formal veil of secrecy, our system is often more transparent, and more open to the influence of informed public opinion."

"Yet, though we reject the use of political violence in this country, we entirely support the citizen's militia in their opposition to the Federal Government. At the same time, we undertake to give that opposition all help that may be lawfully within our means. The struggle of any libertarian, anywhere in the world, is the struggle of all libertarians; we



are aware that the collapse of liberty in the United States will immeasurably weaken it in other countries."

Furlong concludes his article by saying, "This being said, what if there is a second American revolution, and a libertarian government takes office in a new American Federation—how are we as British libertarians to respond? The answer, I suggest, is simple. When the Bolsheviks took power in 1917, the more extreme socialists everywhere in the world became accustomed to looking at least for guidance to Moscow. A British communist in 1935 saw himself firstly not as a British citizen, but as the agent of a foreign power, and his first duty as working for the supremacy in this country of that foreign power's ideology.

"And that is how we shall be. My Editor calls himself an English patriot, who puts his own country and its free traditions first. I want to see what he will call himself if ever those traditions have been obliterated here, but are supreme elsewhere.

"For myself, I shall feel no internal conflict; and I invite my readers to join me in wishing every success to our comrades across the Atlantic."

Closing note: FBI Director Louis Freeh's statement to the Senate after the Ruby Ridge incident explains Mr. Furlong's reference to "murder". Freeh said, "At Ruby Ridge, the FBI did not perform at the level which the American people expect or deserve from the FBI. Indeed, for the FBI, Ruby Ridge was a series of terribly flawed law enforcement operations with tragic consequences.

"We know today that law enforcement overreacted at Ruby Ridge. FBI officials promulgated rules of engagement that were reasonably subject to interpretation that would permit a violation of FBI policy and the Constitution—rules that could have caused even worse consequences than actually occurred. Rules of engagement that I will never allow the FBI to use again."

Freeh uses classic Orwellian forms of Newspeak in his statement before the Senate. He never once used the word "murder" in describing the deaths of Vicki and Sammy Weaver, instead terms such as "a trail of serious operational mistakes" and "a series of terribly flawed law enforcement operations". But when speaking of the U.S. Marshal killed at Ruby Ridge, Freeh says "The FBI responded to Ruby Ridge on August 21, 1992, after the tragic murder of Deputy U.S. Marshal William Degan." Freeh uses the word 'murder' only when it pertains to the death of a member of law enforcement, despite his admission that the FBI broke the law at Ruby Ridge, where the "rules of engagement were contrary to law and FBI policy".

If FBI Special Agent Lon Horiuchi, the sniper who shot Vicki Weaver in the head,

broke the law, as Freeh openly admitted before the Senate, why hasn't he been indicted? There are volumes of court decisions that hold that when an officer acts outside the bounds of the law in the performance of his duties he loses all protection of his office insofar as the law is concerned.

It's of no consequence that he's a police officer. If Horiuchi shot Vicki Weaver "contrary to law" he committed murder. Horiuchi could (and should) be indicted on Louis Freeh's testimony alone.

At the same time, let a militiaman commit even a minor infraction of the law and the FBI—with lightning speed—will thrash him with (embellished) charges that carry 20-year sentences. Perhaps Louis Freeh should read Leviticus 19:15.

If Washington is wondering why there's a Militia Movement in America today perhaps they should ask Mr. Furlong; he seems to have figured it out.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE FAX LINE

From time to time we've told you about the excessive number of Executive Orders coming out of Pres. Bill Clinton's office. Well, now you can confirm what we've been saying for yourself. Just dial 202-395-9088 and, if you have a fax machine, receive a list.

This number will put you in touch with the Executive Office of the President-Publications Fax Line. The I.D.#, to order a copy of Index Of Executive Orders (this gives number, date and title of each E.O., not the E.O. themselves) is "5555".

POWER AND LAW

"Power and law are not synonymous. In truth they are frequently in opposition and irreconcilable. There is God's Law from which all Equitable laws of man emerge and by which men must live if they are not to die in oppression, chaos and despair. Divorced from God's eternal and immutable Law, established before the founding of the suns, man's power is evil no matter how noble the words with which it is employed or the motives urged when enforcing it. Men of good will, mindful therefore of the Law laid down by God, will oppose governments whose rule is by men, and if they wish to survive as a nation they will destroy the government which attempts to adjudicate by the whim of venal judges."

--Marcus Tullius Cicero, 106-43 B.C.

A DATE CHANGE

The date for the UN protest in New York City has been changed to 12 noon March 29. For information concerning the place of

rendezvous contact Art at 908-607-0833, Bob at 609-695-2733, or Earl at 609-989-7292.

"RATHER COUP THAT CLINTON"

--By Mark Smith

A movement is seen by several analysts to be taking form in the ranks of the U.S. Military. Tired of fighting "Clinton's Wars" and being used as a police force, several signs of growing anti-Clinton sentiment have been covered up by post and base commanders. The publication of *The Resister* by Special Forces soldiers was just the first sign, said one USAF OSI agent. Anti-Clinton graffiti has shown up on walls of barracks and water towers. This is quickly painted over when found. "The military has been lied to," said the agent. "We are now in a bad position of keeping a force in Bosnia, Africa, Turkey and Haiti just in case of trouble. This would have been fine if the military had not been downsized to such a small number of personnel."

Agents from all services are constantly looking for signs of anti-Clinton demonstrations. There have been a few commanders who have had to order their men to applaud Clinton or shout out welcomes. Agents have been monitoring crowds for anti-Clinton banners and uniformed personnel who turn their backs on the Commander-in-Chief. When questioned the men responded that Clinton's remarks about being immune from lawsuit due to the fact he is in the military struck the men hard, one CID agent reported.

An Air Force intelligence officer revealed that while the men would never harm the President, they did not respect him or his wife as leaders or as people. A long wall outside of one military base had the slogan "Rather coup than Clinton". Civil engineers were seen out at 2200 hours painting over the comment.

"GOD WILL HOLD YOU ACCOUNTABLE, MR. PRESIDENT"

Question: What do Ahab and President Bill Clinton have in common?

Answer: Ahab was unquestionably the worst king Israel ever had, and Clinton is the worst President's we've ever had.

The similarities don't stop there. There are other parallels in the Bible between these two men.

When Ahab decided to go to battle against the Syrians at Ramoth-gilead, he asked Jehoshaphat, the king of Judah, to join him. Jehoshaphat agreed. However before going to war, Jehoshaphat wanted a prophet to first inquire of the Lord as to how the battle would go.

The prophet Micaiah was called. Ahab hated him because "...He never prophesied

good unto me, but always evil", and this day would be no different, for Micaiah prophesied that Israel and Judah would lose the battle. Infuriated, Ahab ordered his minions to "put this fellow in prison, and feed him with bread of affliction and with water of affliction, until I return." The prophet Micaiah responded, "If thou certainly return in peace, then hath not the Lord spoken by me." To make a long story short, Micaiah's prophecy proved to be true--and Ahab died in battle that day. (II Chronicles 19)

An incident took place in Washington, D.C., on Christmas Eve which closely parallels Ahab's encounter with Micaiah.

Rev. Robert Schenck, General Secretary to the National Clergy Council, while at a service at Washington's National Cathedral, said to President Clinton, "God will hold you accountable, Mr. President." He was referring to Clinton's veto of the partial-birth abortion ban.

The story has it that Clinton looked shocked and signaled the Secret Service. As the choir sang "What Child is This" Secret Service agents accosted Rev. Schenck and prevented him from leaving the service. The search and frisk lasted about 15 minutes in full view of the worshipers.

It seems that Rev. Schenck made the same "mistake" as the prophet Micaiah. He told the truth, something that doesn't go over very well in Samaria--or Washington--when a reprobate holds the seat of power.

UPDATE: STATEMENT BY REVEREND ROBERT SCHENCK

The Secret Service have today described their actions in accosting and detaining me during a Christmas Eve service at Washington National Cathedral as "appropriate". I beg to differ.

President Clinton describes himself as a Christian and certainly enjoys being seen frequently with a Bible in his hand. The Scriptures tell us that: "If someone is caught in sin, you who are spiritual should restore him gently" (Galatians 6:1). That is precisely what I was doing. Reminding the President that he is accountable to God is nothing more or less than the truth.

Indeed, as has been pointed out in the media the Pope, Mother Teresa and Billy Graham have rebuked the President for his actions. Would the Secret Service treat them in the same way as they treated me?

The Secret Service have no business interfering in matters between two brothers in Christ, especially inside a church and during a service, assuming that President Clinton is sincere about his Christian faith.

As *Exegesis* pointed out in their "Update" of today's date; "If the President feels threatened by God's word, it is not because his life is under threat, but because his Eternal

Life is under threat, and that is beyond the jurisdiction even of the Secret Service."

RACIST GUN LAWS

By Roy Innis

Congress of Racial Equality

Any intent to ban the sale of "Saturday night specials" needs to be examined within a proper historical perspective. "Niggertown Saturday Night Specials" was a term used by racists in the South to describe pot metal guns used by blacks for protection.

Today, the "Niggertown" has been dropped, but for those of us who know the meaning of the term, it's no less hurtful and offensive. In the past, because of the economics of the South and its racist gun-control laws, blacks were confined to the sub-rosa market of the inexpensive, dangerous so-called "Saturday Night Specials" to obtain protection. Today, in many crime-ridden minority communities, that need still exists.

History teaches that racism creeps into law under good intentions. Attempts to ban handguns that are inexpensive (but safe) are directly aimed at minority gun ownership. It's more useful to educate all citizens about firearms safety.

Note: the racists have changed tactics. The new term for SNS is "junk gun".--Ed.

CREATING CRIMINALS

"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that it becomes impossible for men to live without breaking laws."

--Ayn Rand, *Atlas Shrugged*

UNITED NATIONS-FRIEND OR FOE?

The United Nations is praised by the media, the public school system and the Federal government as "the last, best hope of mankind", an institution that will bestow upon humanity "freedom from war". [Dept. of State Pub. 7277, *Freedom from War: The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World*, 1961]. But a look beneath the surface reveals something entirely different--a tyrannical, grasping and anti-human machine that seeks to largely depopulate the earth and permit the survivors to live only in restricted areas. Strong words perhaps. Let's examine the charges one by one--then you decide.

Tyrannical? The UN's *Covenant on Human Rights* is merely a list of privileges. Art. 13 permits freedom of religion "subject to limitations prescribed by law." Art. 14 grants the privilege to impart ideas "subject to certain

penalties, liabilities and restrictions". The concept of rights is foreign to the UN, which was deliberately designed so as to concentrate all power in an omnipotent government "for the good of humanity". Unfortunately unchecked power has been shown to result in fatal consequences, in accordance with the "Power Principle: power kills and absolute power kills absolutely." [R.J. Rummel, *Death by Government*, xvi]

Grasping? The UN has already laid claim to 43.6 million acres in the U.S. under the Biosphere Reserve Program. It has also designated the Wildlands Project as the model for "biological diversity". [UN Environmental Programme, *Global Biodiversity Assessment*, Sect. 10.4] That project, conceived by a board member of the Sierra Club, is working to return "at least 50 percent" of America to "core wilderness areas" where human activity is barred. Core wilderness areas are to be connected by miles-wide corridors and surrounded by "buffer zones" in which limited human activity may be permitted. In both the core areas and buffer zones "the collective needs of non-human species must take precedence over the needs and desires of humans." Thus humans will be mainly confined to 25 percent of the land. For now the UN is content with the other 75 percent.

Anti-human? In 1947 Julian Huxley, the first Director-General of the UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, wrote, "Thus even though...any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that... the public mind is informed of the issues ...so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable" [William F. Jasper, *Global Tyranny...Step by Step*, 165]-- Translation: the "educational" arm of UNESCO must condition the public to accept the idea that mating should be controlled by the UN.

In a 1994 UNESCO publication Jacques Cousteau stated, "It's terrible to have to say this. World population must be stabilized and to do that we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. This is so horrible to contemplate that we shouldn't even say it." [*UNESCO Courier*, Nov., 1994, 13] -- Translation: authoritarian people hiding behind an environmental mask hope to reduce the population by 125,000,000 per year. To put this in perspective governments in this century have murdered 169 million people, exclusive of battle dead, or 1.7 million per year on average. [*Death by Government*, 4] The UN's "scientific" arm is promoting the elimination of sixty-five times that number for an indefinite period! Will our families be among them?

An indication of the UN's pitilessness was its 1990-96 ban on Iraqi oil sales. Denied the money with which to purchase food and medicine an estimated 30,000 Iraqi children

died per month. Not quite Cousteau's 350,000 a day, but a start.

Who will protect the people from this monster?

The Federal government? You decide: USAID, the Department of the Interior, the IMF and World Bank (both US-funded) all work hand in glove with the UN. The State Department alone has transferred \$95.3 billion to that body, as of 1993.--The armed forces, won't they defend the people? You decide: the military is to be completely disarmed and replaced by a UN Peace Force (standing army) [*Freedom from War*, 10]. Despite that U.S. soldiers are blissfully taking orders today from a Finnish UN general in Macedonia--The National Guard? You decide: it has been totally federalized. Governors have used it against the people as when guardsmen killed four students at Kent State -- The police? You decide: the federal police apparently will serve any master who will not indict them. As to local police they can be federalized, as were the Texas Rangers during the Waco incident.

If the government, the armed forces, the National Guard and the police either will not or cannot protect the people, who will?

Note: NJ militiamen distributed this UN leaflet Christmas Day at the re-enactment of Washington's crossing of the Delaware. For a copy send us at least \$1 and an SASE.--Ed.

Libertarians

Sue Over Gun Permit

by Bart Calendar, Staff Writer, *Home News & Tribune*, Jan. 1, 1997

Claiming a violation of due-process rights the Libertarian Party plans to challenge the Franklin Police Dept.'s gun-permit policy in Superior Court next week before Judge Leonard Arnold.

The party claims that the Franklin police wait more than 30 days to issue gun permits.

"The party believes that an armed citizenry is essential to a free society, and opposes all forms of gun control," the LP of Somerset & Middlesex Counties said in a prepared statement.

The Police Dept. says it sometimes takes more than a month for the FBI to run applicant's fingerprints through its computers and it can't issue permits until it is sure the applicant has no criminal record.

John Paff, vice chairman of the party, said the lawsuit was not filed because of any animosity between the Libertarians and the Franklin cops, but as an attempt to set a statewide precedent. "We want to have some legal basis to pressure police chiefs to issue permits more quickly," Paff said.

Diane Adler, LP chairwoman, applied for a gun permit July 23. She waited a month and when she had not been issued a permit she filed suit Aug. 27. She was given a permit on Sept. 17, but has decided to continue with her lawsuit in hopes that other people will not have to wait as long as she did to get a gun.

"She knew that she was not going to get the permit in time," Paff said. "That's not the point. This goes on in nearly every police department in the state."

The argument is based on a section of the state gun-control law that reads: "...unless good cause for the denial thereof appears, [the police chief] shall grant the permit or ID card, or both...within 30 days of the receipt of the application."

John Belardo, the attorney representing the police department, expects the suit to be dismissed because Adler now has a permit. "The point is moot. The court doesn't like to take on cases where there is no conflict."

KITCHEN MILITIA PRAYER

Heavenly Father, grant us strength, direction, and guidance so that we might protect our children, our families, and our beloved country, America.

Give us wisdom to awaken and activate our fellow slumbering citizens, so that we might remain a free people, a free nation. Open our eyes and enlighten us to the oppression and tyranny of Big Government.

You are our Master, Lord--not government--We bow only to Thee, not Caesar!

Grant us wisdom and courage to guard against all attempts to subvert, violate, or alter our Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Deliver us from compromise, pragmatism, and those politicians who would sell their birthright of freedom for expediency, power, control and earthly riches.

Grant us discernment enabling us to expose and defeat the dismantling of our American sovereignty as a nation. Instill in us a yearning for a return to our heritage, our identity, and a true understanding of our historical traditions that have made our country so richly blessed.

Grant us mercy for obedience, not to deceivers and traitors in our midst, but to a Just and Merciful God we surrender and ask forgiveness

Create in us a will that tolerates no surrender to the enemies of Freedom.

We reclaim America in the name of our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ. Amen!

Carolyn Lanham
Kitchen Militia
2750 LaRosa Drive
Grove City, Ohio 43123
Phone 614-539-0543
Fax 614-539-1669

"IN THE BEGINNING OF CHANGE, THE PATRIOT IS A SCARCE MAN; BRAVE, HATED AND SCORNE. WHEN HIS CAUSE SUCCEEDS, HOWEVER, THE TIMID JOIN HIM, FOR THEN IT COSTS NOTHING TO BE A PATRIOT."

--MARK TWAIN

The New Jersey Militia needs your support!! We plan to follow Thomas Jefferson's ideal to "educate and inform the whole mass of the people..." We want to hear from you! Send your comments, suggestions, personal stories and donations to address below:

N J M, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton, NJ 08650

Mercer Co, Earl.....(609) 989-7292
Mercer Co., Bob.....(609) 695-2733
Hudson Co., Mark.....(201) 442-0797
Middlesex Co., Dave.....(908) 754-7540
Middlesex Co., Art.....(908) 607-0833
Essex Co., Mike.....(201) 743-7351
Monmouth Co., Gwendolyn.....(908) 493-4909
Salem Co., Dan.....(609) 339-9728
Ocean Co., Vince.....(908) 657-8374
Passiac Co., Ken.....(201) 779-4761

(Newsletter subscription - Donation \$10.00)

CASH or MONEY ORDER ONLY

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY _____

PHONE () _____